

Migration of radionuclides in fissured rock – The influence of micropore diffusion and longitudinal dispersion

Anders Rasmuson Ivars Neretnieks

Royal Institute of Technology, December 1979

SVENSK KÄRNBRÄNSLEFÖRSÖRJNING AB / PROJEKT KÄRNBRÄNSLESÄKERHET

POSTADRESS: Kärnbränslesäkerhet, Box 5864, 102 48 Stockholm, Telefon 08-67 95 40

MIGRATION OF RADIONUCLIDES IN FISSURED ROCK -THE INFLUENCE OF MICROPORE DIFFUSION AND LONGITUDINAL DISPERSION

Anders Rasmuson Ivars Neretnieks

Royal Institute of Technology, December 1979

This report concerns a study which was conducted for the KBS project. The conclusions and viewpoints presented in the report are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily coincide with those of the client.

A list of other reports published in this series is attached at the end of this report. Information on KBS technical reports from 1977-1978 (TR 121) and 1979 (TR 79-28) is available through SKBF/KBS.

MIGRATION OF RADIONUCLIDES IN FISSURED ROCK — THE INFLUENCE OF MICROPORE DIFFUSION AND LONGITUDINAL DISPERSION

Anders Rasmuson Ivars Neretnieks

December 1979

Department of Chemical Engineering Royal Institute of Technology S - 100 44 Stockholm Sweden

In print in J. Geophysical Research (Febr. 1981)

Reproduced by permission from the American Geophysical Union,

copyrighted 1980.

SUMMARY

The migration of radionuclides in the fissures in the bedrock surrounding a repository is discussed. A one-dimensional transport model is presented. It includes diffusion of the nuclides into the microfissures of the rock, and linear sorption and longitudinal dispersion in the bedrock. An analytical solution to the model is given in terms of an infinite integral. The integrand is a sometimes highly oscillatory function of the system parameters. A special integration method is developed to evaluate the infinite integral. The method utilizes the oscillatory behavior of the integrand.

The assessment of input parameters is discussed in some detail. Dimensionless breakthrough curves are given for the approximate range of variation of the input parameters. Calculations are made for a repository of spent fuel surrounded by fissured but fairly good rock ($K_p = 10^{-9}$ m/s and fissure spacing S = 50 m). Longitudinal dispersion may significantly affect the amount of radioactive material reaching the biosphere.

Radionuclides, which would decay completely without longitudinal dispersion, may arrive in non-negligible concentrations. Dispersion effects of the magnitude considered in this study can significantly diminish the retardation effects of matrix diffusion.

In a previous paper by Neretnieks (1980), the migration of radionuclides in the fissures in the bedrock surrounding a repository is discussed. The important transport mechanisms were shown to be flow of water and nuclides in the fissures, and transport of nuclides from the water in the fissures into the microfissures of the rock by diffusion. The main retarding mechanism is the diffusion of the nuclides into the microfissures of the rock and their sorption.

In this paper, a model is presented which extends the previous analysis by treating the finite block size of the rock as well as longitudinal dispersion in the bedrock.

MATHEMATICAL MODEL

When water which contains radionuclides flows in fissured rock, the nuclides will migrate into the porous structure of the rock by diffusion. Many of the radionuclides will sorb on the walls of the microfissures. The concentration of the nuclide in the flowing water is thereby decreased, and the nuclide migration velocity will be slower than the water velocity. In the following analysis, the rock is regarded as consisting of porous blocks separated by fissures (a double-porosity medium). The water flow, however, is assumed to take place only in the fissures. The sorption is generally considered to occur in three distinct stages:

- diffusion of the component from the water in the fissures to the external surface of the blocks (external or film diffusion)
- diffusion through the porous network of the blocks (internal diffusion)
- the sorption process itself, when the component is bound to some sorption site in the pores or microfissures.

The dispersion in the bedrock affects the concentration of the radioactivity arriving at any time at a point of interest in two ways: a large dispersion tends to cause greater dilution, and a large dispersion will cause some radioactive material to arrive earlier than the major peak. The interplay between these effects is important in determining the amount of radioactivity which will escape to the biosphere.

In the mathematical development we make the following major assumptions:

- a) the block diameter is small in comparison with overall distance, and the rock is macroscopically uniform
- b) the dispersion of components in the bedrock is described by the "usual" (Fried and Combarnous, 1971) one-dimensional differential equation for longitudinal dispersion
- c) the sorption equilibrium relationship is linear (trace amounts of radionuclides)
- d) local sorption equilibrium is reached instantaneously
- e) the movement of solute within the blocks can be described mathematically by a Fick's law diffusion equation, where the effective intraparticle diffusion coefficient is constant and independent of concentration
- f) the blocks may, for the description of internal diffusion, be regarded as spheres

For flow and sorption from the water in the fissures we have:

$$\frac{\partial C_{f}}{\partial t} + U_{f} \frac{\partial C_{f}}{\partial z} - D_{L} \frac{\partial^{2} C_{f}}{\partial z^{2}} = -\frac{1-\varepsilon_{f}}{\varepsilon_{f}} \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t}\right) - \frac{\lambda C_{f}}{d f}$$
(1)

The terms in this equation represent accumulation in the water in the fissures, convective transport, transport by axial dispersion, accumulation in the blocks, and radioactive decay.

Fick's law of diffusion for radial movement of solute in a spherical particle (including radioactive decay) is:

$$\frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial t} = D_{a} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} q_{i}}{\partial r^{2}} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{\lambda q_{i}}{d^{i}}$$
(2)

Note that the definition of q_i as a local solute concentration includes solute both in the solid rock and in the water in the pores. We assume here that solid diffusion effects are negligible, and that the transport of solutes within the blocks may be effectively described by diffusion in the solution phase only. Thus, if it is assumed that the driving force for diffusion is the intrapore concentration gradient $\partial C_p / \partial r$, an alternate differential equation for intraparticle diffusion may be written;

$$\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{s}}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} = \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} D_{\mathbf{p}} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \mathbf{r}^2} + \frac{2}{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \right) - \lambda_{\mathbf{d}} (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{s}})$$
(3)

The two terms on the left hand side give the accumulation in the pore fluid phase and in the solid phase, respectively. On the right hand side, the terms describe diffusion in the pore fluid phase, and radioactive decay of the nuclide in the pores and solid rock.

By definition:

$$q_{i} = \varepsilon_{p}C_{p} + C_{s}$$
(4)

Further, using assumptions c) and d), we obtain:

$$q_{i} = K C_{p}$$
(5)

7

From equations (4) and (5) we obtain for C_s :

$$C_{s} = (K - \varepsilon_{p}) C_{p}$$
(6)

Differentiating (6) to obtain $\frac{\partial C_s}{\partial t}$, and substituting into equation (3), we get:

$$\frac{\partial C_{p}}{\partial t} = \frac{\varepsilon_{p} D_{p}}{K} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} C_{p}}{\partial r^{2}} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial C_{p}}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{\lambda C_{p}}{d p}$$
(7)

If q_i/K is substituted for C_p , equation (7) becomes identical to equation (2) when $D_a = \varepsilon_p D_p/K$. D_a is called the apparent diffusivity.

In a system which is initially free of nuclides, and in which the inlet (z=0) nuclide concentration suddenly is increased to C_o at time zero, the initial and boundary conditions are:

$$C_{f}(0,t) = C_{o}e^{-\lambda t}$$
(8)

$$C_{f}(\infty,t) = 0 \tag{9}$$

$$C_{f}(z,0) = 0$$
 (10)

$$C_{p}(0,z,t) \neq \infty$$
(1-1)

$$C_{p}(r_{o}z,t) = C_{p}|_{r=r_{o}} \text{ given by } \frac{\partial \overline{q}}{\partial t} = \frac{3k_{f}}{r_{o}}(C_{f} - C_{p}|_{r=r_{o}})$$
(12)

$$C_{p}(r,z,0) = C_{s}(r,z,0) = 0$$
 (13)

Boundary condition (12) is the link between equations (1) and (7). It states mathematically that the mass entering or leaving the blocks must equal the flow of mass transported across a stagnant fluid film at the external surface. It is assumed that no mass accumulation occurs within the stagnant fluid film. Boundary condition (8) is used here because it describes a constant leach rate for a body containing a decaying nuclide. This is an important case for study.

SOLUTION OF THE EQUATIONS

An exact solution of equations (1) and (7), subject to the boundary conditions (8) - (13), is presented elsewhere (Rasmuson and Neretnieks, 1980). Here we summarize the steps in that solution.

First the solution for a stable species is obtained. Then, utilizing the properties of the Heaviside step function, the results for a finite release time are obtained by combination of two such solutions. Finally, the decay of the radionuclide is accounted for.

For a stable species $(\lambda_d = 0)$, the solution is:

$$\frac{C_{f}}{C_{o}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{U_{f}z}{2D_{L}} - z\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{x'(\lambda)^{2} + y'(\lambda)^{2} + x'(\lambda)}}{2}}\right)$$

$$\sin\left(\sigma \lambda^{2}t - z\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{x'(\lambda)^{2} + y'(\lambda)^{2} - x'(\lambda)}}{2}}\right) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \quad (14)$$

with:

$$x'(\lambda) = \frac{U_{f}^{2}}{4D_{L}^{2}} + \frac{\gamma}{mD_{L}} H_{1}$$

$$y'(\lambda) = \frac{\sigma\lambda^{2}}{D_{L}} + \frac{\gamma}{mD_{L}} H_{2}$$
(15)
(16)

where:

$$m = \frac{\varepsilon_{f}}{1 - \varepsilon_{f}}$$
$$\gamma = \frac{3D_{a}K}{r_{o}^{2}}$$
$$\sigma = \frac{2D_{a}}{r_{o}^{2}}$$
$$R_{F} = \frac{r_{o}}{3k_{f}}$$

 ${\rm H}_1$ and ${\rm H}_2$ are complicated functions of λ and ν = $\gamma\,{\rm R}_F$:

$$H_{1}(\lambda, v) = \frac{H_{D_{1}} + v(H_{D_{1}}^{2} + H_{D_{2}}^{2})}{(1 + v H_{D_{1}})^{2} + (v H_{D_{2}})^{2}}$$
(17)

$$H_{2}(\lambda, \nu) = \frac{H_{D_{2}}}{(1 + \nu H_{D_{1}})^{2} + (\nu H_{D_{2}})^{2}}$$
(18)

where:

$$H_{D_{1}}(\lambda) = \lambda \left(\frac{\sinh 2\lambda + \sin 2\lambda}{\cosh 2\lambda - \cos 2\lambda} \right) - 1$$
(19)

$$H_{D_{2}}(\lambda) = \lambda \left(\frac{\sinh 2\lambda - \sin 2\lambda}{\cosh 2\lambda - \cos 2\lambda} \right)$$
(20)

For small values of λ equations (19) and (20) simplify (for $\lambda < 0.1$ the relative error is less than 10^{-3}) to:

$$H_{D_1} = \frac{4\lambda^4}{45}$$
(21)

$$H_{D_2} = \frac{2\lambda^2}{3}$$
(22)

It follows that $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} H_{D_1} = 0$ and $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} H_{D_2} = 0$. For high values of λ equations (19) and (20) simplify (for $\lambda > 5$ the relative error is less than 10^{-3}) to:

$${}^{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathrm{D}_{1}} = \lambda - 1 \tag{23}$$

$$H_{D_2} = \lambda$$
(24)

For the case of a finite step boundary condition (which implies that the inlet concentration is again decreased to 0 at time t_0):

$$C_{f}(0,t) = C_{o}^{0 \le t \le t_{o}}$$

 $C_{f}(0,t) = 0 \quad t > t_{o}$
(25)

the Laplace transform of C_{f}^{\prime} becomes:

$$\tilde{C}_{f}(z,s) = (1 - e^{-t}o^{s}) \tilde{C}_{f}(z,s)$$
 (26)

and

$$C_{f} = C_{f}(z,t) - C_{f}(z,t-t_{o}) H(t-t_{o})$$
 (27)

where H is Heaviside's step function.

The following dimensionless quantities are introduced:

 $\delta = \frac{\gamma z}{m U_f}$ bed length parameter $R = \frac{K}{m}$ distribution ratio $Pe = \frac{z U_f}{D_L}$ Pecket number $y = \sigma t$ contact time parameter $v = \gamma R_F$ film resistance parameter

The bed length parameter may be thought of as a ratio of one time, specifying the moving fluid, to another time, specifying the diffusion in particles. The distribution ratio gives the relative magnitudes of the capacity of the particles and the capacity of the fluid in the fissures. The Peclet number is a measure of the amount of hydrodynamic dispersion. High values of the longitudinal dispersion coefficient yield low Peclet numbers. The film resistance parameter may be interpreted as a ratio of the external diffusion resistance to the internal diffusion resistance. Equation (14) now becomes:

$$\frac{C_{f}}{C_{o}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Pe} - \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{(z^{2}x')^{2} + (z^{2}y')^{2} + z^{2}x'}}{2}}\right)$$

$$\sin\left(y \ \lambda^{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{(z^{2}x')^{2} + (z^{2}y')^{2} - z^{2}x'}}{2}}\right) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \quad (28)$$

with:

$$z^{2}x' = Pe(\frac{1}{4}Pe + \delta H_{1})$$
 (29)

$$z^{2}y' = \delta Pe \left(\frac{2}{3}\frac{\lambda^{2}}{R} + H_{2}\right)$$
 (30)

As Pe $\rightarrow \infty$ (no dispersion), equation (28) becomes:

$$\frac{C_{f}}{C_{o}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp(-\delta H_{1}) \sin(\sigma \theta \lambda^{2} - \delta H_{2}) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}$$
(31)

where $\theta = t - \frac{z}{U_f}$.

This solution was given by Rosen (1952). In the expression for $\theta,~z/U_{\rm f}$ is the water transport time.

For a decaying species $(\lambda_d > 0)$, the Laplace transform of C_f , \tilde{C}_f , becomes a function of $s + \lambda_d$ instead of s. Hence, due to the properties of the Laplace transform, the solution becomes:

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_{f} \\ C_{o} \\ \lambda_{d}^{>_{0}} \end{pmatrix} = e^{-\lambda_{d}t} \begin{pmatrix} C_{f} \\ C_{o} \\ \lambda_{d}^{=_{0}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(32)

For a decaying species with decay constant λ_d , released during time 0 to t_o , the concentration at any point along the z-axis is obtained by combining equations (14) (nondecaying species) and equation (27), giving the results for finite release. Finally, equation (32) gives the concentration for the decaying species.

Because of the complicated nature of the integral expression for C_f/C_o , numerical integration must be performed. Details of the integration are given in the Appendix. ASSESSMENT OF INPUT PARAMETERS

The environment which this study attempts to describe, is a moderately fissured crystalline rock such as the Swedish granite and gneiss investigated in the Swedish Nuclear Fuel Safety Project (KBS, 1978).

The characteristic time for molecular diffusion in the fissures is much less than that in the blocks. The characteristic time for diffusion is given by $1^2/D$, where 1 is a characteristic length, and D is a diffusion coefficient. Since the characteristic length ("radius") of the blocks is typically not less than 10^3 times that of the fissures, and since the apparent diffusion coefficient in the fissures is 10 to 10^8 times that in the blocks (Neretnieks, 1980), the characteristic time for diffusion in the fissures should be vanishingly small in comparison with that in the blocks. Thus, any radial concentration gradients in the fissures will be completely negligible. It follows that the film resistance $v \sim 0$. The expressions for H₁ and H₂ are accordingly simplified to:

$$H_{1}(\lambda, v) = H_{D_{1}}(\lambda)$$

$$H_{2}(\lambda, v) = H_{D_{2}}(\lambda)$$
(33)
(34)

The input parameters needed in the model are now:

К	volume equilibrium constant	m^3/m^3
λ^{d}	decay constant	s ⁻¹
Da	apparent diffusivity	m^2/s
ε _f	average fracture porosity	m^3/m^3
U _f	average fracture velocity	m/s
ro	"effective" block radius	m
D _L	dispersion coefficient	m^2/s

The equilibrium data was obtained from Allard et al. (1978) and Grundfelt (1978). The decay constants λ_d were obtained from Handbook of Chemistry and Physics (1977-1978). The apparent diffusivity is obtained from the expression above :

$$D_{a} = \frac{D \varepsilon}{K}$$
(35)

D is the diffusivity in the water in the pores, and is related to the diffusivity in pure water by:

$$D_{p} = D_{v} \frac{\delta_{D}}{\tau^{2}}$$
(36)
where $\frac{\delta_{D}}{\tau^{2}} < 1$ is a geometric factor.

The diffusivity of a species of low molecular weight (M<500) in water at ambient temperature is $D_v \approx 1 - 5 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$. The values of $\frac{\delta_D}{\tau^2}$ and ε_p for rocks at different confining pressures were discussed by Neretnieks (1980). In his calculations, the values $\delta_D/\tau^2 = 0.1$, $\varepsilon_p = 0.005$ and $D_v = 2 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ were used. For comparison, these values are also used in this paper.

Fracture spacing, the size of fracture openings, and fracture porosities are not directly observable, but the related property of permeability is commonly measured. The relation between permeability, fissure spacing, orientations, and fissure width is, however, not known in practice. Snow (1968) used a model in which fissures were assumed to be of equal width and to have equal spacing. Assuming a cubic system of orthogonal fractures, Snow obtained:

$$(2b)^3 = 1/2 \frac{12\mu}{\rho g} SK_p$$
 (37)

and

$$\varepsilon_{f} = 3 \left(\frac{2b}{S}\right) \tag{38}$$

The average fracture velocity is calculated from:

$$U_{f}\varepsilon_{f} = K_{p}i$$
(39)

The cubic grid used to describe the fissure system implies that the blocks of rock are cubes. This geometry is awkward for modeling internal diffusion. Here, the cubes are approximated by spheres having the same surface-tovolume ratio as a cubic block. This means that the total surface area which the water contacts is the same for the spheres as it would be for the cubes. Further, the amount of solid in the bed is the same. The difference is that each individual sphere has somewhat less volume, but the number of spheres is greater, giving the same total volume of solid matter. This approximation gives exactly the same uptake for short times, and deviates only slightly for larger times (Neretnieks, 1972). The surface-to-volume ratio for a spherical particle is:

$$A/V = \frac{3}{r_0}$$
(40)

For the cubic blocks we get:

$$A/V = \frac{6}{S}$$
(41)

Accordingly:

$$r_0 = 0.5 \text{ S}$$
 (42)

For high values of the distribution ratio R, the influence of this parameter can be shown to be negligible. This implies that the capacity of the fluid in the fissure is negligible in comparison to the capacity of the sorbing material. In equations (28)-(30), R appears only in the expression for z^2y' . It is clear that if the following inequality is fulfilled over the entire interval of integration:

$$R \gg \frac{2}{3} \frac{\lambda^2}{H_{D_2}}$$
(43)

then the influence of R is negligible.

From the limiting expressions for H_{D_2} (equations (22) and (24)) we obtain:

$$R>> 1 \qquad \lambda < 0.1 \tag{44}$$

$$R >> \frac{2}{3} \lambda \qquad \lambda > 5.0 \tag{45}$$

The condition for the range 0.1 < λ < 5.0 may easily be estimated from the limiting expressions. The first condition is always satisfied for the cases of interest. To show that the second condition is satisfied for all λ , we need to know the rate of convergence of the integral for C_f/C_o , i.e. $\lambda = \lambda_{max}$ with a fixed truncation error. λ_{max} may be estimated from the argument of the exponential function in C_f/C_o (Appendix) as:

$$\lambda_{\max} = \frac{2(\frac{1}{2} Pe + c)}{\delta Pe} \left[\sqrt{2(\frac{1}{2}Pe + c)^2 - \frac{1}{4} Pe^2 + \delta Pe} - (\frac{1}{2}Pe + c) \right]$$
(46)

where the truncation error is considerably less than e^{-c} . $\lambda_{max} = \lambda_{max} (\delta, Pe)$ for c = 20 is given in Figure 1. For the sorbing nuclides considered here, R is larger than $\sim 10^5$ (see next section). Thus, λ_{max} has to be less than $1.5 \cdot 10^5$. From Figure 1, it may be seen that this is the case for higher values of δ (e.g. large distances).

In these cases there is no need to determine ${\rm U}_{\rm f}$ and $\varepsilon_{\rm f}$ separately, since :

$$mU_{f} = \frac{\varepsilon_{f}}{1-\varepsilon_{f}} \quad U_{f} \simeq (\varepsilon_{f} << 1) \quad \varepsilon_{f}U_{f} = K_{p}i$$

giving:

$$\delta = \frac{\gamma_z}{K_p i}$$
(47)

For the reasons given, R has no influence, and it will be demonstrated in the next section that Pe is independent of U_f . Equations (37)-(39) are thus superfluous for the calculations of transport times. Furthermore, the only influence of the equilibrium constant is on σ . Thus, if the breakthrough curve (without radioactive decay) for one nuclide is calculated for certain values of the parameters, the breakthrough curves for all other nuclides (primed) may be obtained from the relation:

$$(C_{f}/C_{0})' = (C_{f}/C_{0})$$
 if $y' = y$

giving:

$$t' = \frac{K'}{K} t \tag{48}$$

Dispersion is due to the combined action of both a purely mechanical phenomenon and of molecular diffusion. Most of the experimental studies of longitudinal dispersion have been performed in unconsolidated porous media (Fried and Combarnous, 1971). From the results of these experiments, it may be inferred that there exist five different dispersion regimes with different relative importances of molecular diffusion and mechanical dispersion. In the cases of interest here, the contribution of mechanical dispersion is predominant. In unconsolidated porous media , the following relationship has been shown to hold (Fried and Combarnous, 1971):

$$D_{L} = \beta d U_{f}$$
(49)

where d is a characteristic particle diameter. The experimental value of β is 1.8 ± 0.4. Note that the Peclet number is independent of velocity:

•)

$$Pe = \frac{z}{\beta d}$$
(50)

Very few experiments have been performed for the case of consolidated porous media. It is expected that dispersion will be greater in consolidated than in unconsolidated media. The pore-size distribution is wider in consolidated than in unconsolidated media, and thus, the distribution of velocities is also wider. Raimondi et al. (1959) give values of βd as different as 0.001 (unconsolidated medium) and 0.2 (consolidated medium) for media with the same permeabilities. A series of tests conducted by Klotz and Moser (1974) show how dispersion increases with decreasing porosity, i.e. with greater compactness; the explanation is that growing compactness leads to a greater branching of flow paths. D_L corresponds more or less to ε^{-3} , ε being the total porosity.

The studies of lateral dispersion are less numerous but more recent than those of longitudinal dispersion. Experiments in unconsolidated media have been performed for Peclet numbers ranging from 10^{-2} to 10^{+4} , and have shown the existence of four regimes of dispersion (Fried and Combarnous, 1971). For these media, lateral dispersion is small in comparison with longitudinal dispersion. Burkholder et al. (1976) used this fact to simplify their model for nuclide transport and spreading. However, this assumption may not hold for heterogeneous media. Robertson (1974), in a study of radioactive pollution from a Test Reactor Site in southern Idaho, found higher values for the lateral than for the longitudinal dispersion coefficient. They attribute this observation in part to the fissured nature of the aquifer. In the calculations performed in this paper, the lateral dispersion effect is assumed to be negligible. However, the importance of this parameter is currently under investigation by the authors.

There are some indications that the dispersion coefficient may increase with distance (Lallemand-Barrès and Peaudecerf, 1978). This may occur in a medium in which increasingly larger channels are found when larger rock volumes are considered. In such a case, there is no obvious particle size. If a particle size could be conceived, it would seem to increase with distance. In such a case, it would be better to assume that the Peclet number is constant (independent of distance) than to assume a constant dispersivity. The data of Lallemand-Barrès and Peaudecerf have Peclet numbers ranging from 0.5 to 50, with most of the data around 5.

DIMENSIONLESS BREAKTHROUGH CURVES

The range of variation of the dimensionless parameters $\delta,\ R$ and Pe are given in Table 1.

Table 1: Estimated ranges of the dimensionless parameters δ , R and Pe.

δ	$4.8 \cdot 10^{-7}$ -	1.2 • 10 ⁵
R	7.9 • 10^4 (9.1) *	- $4.6 \cdot 10^{10}$
Ре	0.5 – ∞	

* Lower value of R within brackets is that for I-129 (K = 0.005). The other value is calculated from the nuclide with the second lowest K-value (Sr-90, K = 43).

These values are based on the following approximate ranges of the input parameters.

10 ⁻⁹	<	к р	<	10 ⁻⁵
0.001	<	i	<	0.01
1	<	S	<	50
10	<	Z	<	10 000
43(0.005)	<	K	<	86 000

The greatest permeability value given above corresponds to a very permeable rock such as can be found near the surface at depths less than 100 m. However, this value is very large even for shallow rock. The low permeability value was observed in several boreholes in granite and gneiss in south Sweden (KBS, 1979). The values of δ_D/τ^2 , ε_p , and D_v estimated by Neretnieks (1980) were used in the calculations, yielding an apparant diffusivity of $D_a = 10^{-12}/K$.

Dimensionless breakthrough curves (without decay) for $R \rightarrow \infty$ are shown in Figures 2-7, with Pe as a parameter. $\delta = 10^{-8}$, 10^{-4} , 10^{-2} , 1, 10^2 , 10^4 and Pe = 0.5, 5.0, ∞ . It may be seen that for low values of δ , identical curves are obtained if the dimensionless concentrations C_f/C_o are functions of y/δ^2 , R/δ , and the Peclet number. This is not surprising, since only the outer surface of the blocks are utilized, due to the short contact times. The solution to the model then simplifies to error-functions of the form given in Neretnieks (1980).

For high values of δ , the curves again nearly coincide, if plotted against y/δ (Pe constant). This is the case for long contact times, when the material behind the front reaches equilibrium.

The effect of diffusion into the rock matrix (without longitudinal dispersion), as compared to retardation by surface reaction in the fissures only, was thoroughly treated by Neretnieks (1980). When longitudinal dispersion is included, it has a pronounced effect on the breakthrough curves. For Pe = 0.5, the time of first arrival is 100-1000 times earlier than for the same case with no dispersion. Consequently, radionuclides which otherwise would decay to insignificant levels may arrive at the site boundaries in nonnegligible concentrations.

In Figures 8-11, the influence of R is shown. It may be seen that, if $\delta \gtrsim 10^{-4}$, the breakthrough curves for $R = \infty$ and $R = 10^5$ are practically identical. This simplifies the calculations of breakthrough curves. For I-129 (R=10), this condition is fulfilled when $\delta \gtrsim 1$.

APPLICATION OF THE MODEL TO A FINAL REPOSITORY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE

Table 2 shows the most important radionuclides in a repository for spent fuel (KBS, 1978), as well as K values for granite obtained by Allard et al. (1978) on material crushed to ~ 0.1 mm. Contact times for the K measurements were up to 7 months. Reducing conditions prevail in granite which contains Fe(II) (Jacks, 1978; KBS, 1978; KBS TR 90, 1978). Calculations of breakthrough curves were made for all these nuclides, at distances z = 1, 3, 10, 30, 100, 300, 1000, 3000 and 10 000 m from the repository. The input parameters were $K_p = 10^{-9}$ m/s, i = 0.003 m/m, S = 50 m, $D_a = \frac{10^{-12}}{K} m^2/s$ and Pe = 0.5, 5.0 and ∞ . Figures 12-14 show the resulting curves at a distance of 1000 m (except for the very long-lived U - 238). The figures apply to a case where every nuclide originates in the repository. No decay chains are accounted for.

If a nuclide never reaches the biosphere with a concentration greater than 10^{-9} times that in the repository, it is considered to have decayed to insignificance (Neretnieks, 1980). This criterion strictly applies only to a nuclide originally present in the repository, but may be modified to approximately apply to migrating daughter nuclides as well. The criterion may be expressed in terms of the distance a nuclide must travel through rock before its maximum concentration is reduced to a level 10^{-9} C₀. These distances cannot be explicitly calculated. However, approximate values were obtained from the breakthrough curves referred to above. The distances are given in the last columns of Table 2, for different values of the Peclet number. For Pe = ∞ , the only three nuclides which do not decay "totally" within 300 m, are Cs-135, I-129, and U-238. However, when Pe = 0.5, the situation is

considerably worse. Now, after a travelling distance of 300 m, the concentrations of eight nuclides are higher than 10^{-9} C_o: Pu-239, Pu-240, Pu-242, Tc-99, Np-237, Cs-135, I-129 and U-238. The combination of the value for fissure spacing used in the examples (and thus block size), and permeability K_p = 10^{-9} m/s, is probably conservative. A fissure spacing of 50 m allows much less contact surface than the 1 m spacing used in KBS Safety Analysis, 1977.

The decay chains of a series of nuclides may be assessed by approximate calculations. Member i + 1 is assumed to start its travel from the point where member i arrived with a maximum concentration of $10^{-9} C_0$. The distances which the daughters will travel, are in reality considerably smaller. Tables 3 and 4 show that if $Pe = \infty$, the two important chains, including Am-241, Np-237, Th-229 and Ra-226, will decay within a 150 m thick granite barrier of the quality assumed. At the highest dispersion level, Pe = 0.5, the upper limit of the barrier thickness is $\sim 11,500$ m.

Using the model, simulations have also been conducted for other values of the input parameters. In particular, the effects of finite release time and time of canister breakdown have been investigated.

	Initial [*] amount per ton U		** K	Distan K _p = 10 i = 0.	ce (m) in 1 -9 m/s, S = 003 m/m for	cock with 50 m C=C ₀ · 10
Nuclide	Ci/ton	years	m ³ /m ³	$Pe = \infty$	Pe = 5.0	Pe = 0.5
Cs-137	$1.1 \cdot 10^{5}$	30.2	170	1-3	3-10	30-100
Sr-90	7.6 · 10 ⁴	28.1	43	1-3	10-30	100-300
Am-241	7.8 \cdot 10 ² a	458	86 000	<1	1-3	3-10
Am-243	$2.1 \cdot 10^{1}$	7 370	86 000	<1	3-10	30-100
Pu-239	$3.2 \cdot 10^2$	24 400	810	10-30	30-100	300-1000
Pu-240	$4.9 \cdot 10^2$	6 580	810	3-10	30-100	300-1000
Pu-241	$1.1 \cdot 10^{5}$	13.2	810	<1	1-3	10-30
Pu-242	$1.4 \cdot 10^{0}$	379 000	810	30-100	300-1000	1000-3000
Cm-244	$2.0 \cdot 10^3$	17.6	43 000f	<1	<1	1-3
Tc-99	$1.4 \cdot 10^{1}$	212 000	135	100-300	300-1000	3000 - 10 ⁴
Np-237	$3.3 \cdot 10^{-1} b$	$2.14 \cdot 10^{6}$	3 240	30-100	300-1000	3000-10 ⁴
Cs-135	$2.5 \cdot 10^{1}$	$3 \cdot 10^{6}$	170	300-1000	1000-3000	10 ⁴ <
I-129	$3.8 \cdot 10^2$	$17 \cdot 10^{6}$	0.005e	104<	104<	10 ⁴ <
Ra-226	$1.1 \cdot 10^{0} c$	1 600	1 350	3-10	10-30	100-300
Th-229	$8.5 \cdot 10^1 d$	7 340	6 480	3-10	10-30	100-300
U-238		4.51 · 10 ⁹	3 240	3000-10 ⁴	104<	104<

Table 2. Most important radionuclides in a repository for spent fuel and their migration in granitic rock

* Kjellbert, 1977
** (Allard, Grundfelt, KBS, 1978) reducing conditions.
a builds up to 3.3 · 10⁰ after 100 years from Pu-241
b builds up to 11 · 10⁰ after 10⁵ years from Am-241
c not initially there, builds up from Th-230, Max. conc. at 10⁶ years
d not initially there, builds up from U-233, Max. conc. at 2 · 10⁵ years
e K equal to porosity of granite matrix = 0.005
f assumed half that for Am

			Distance (m) beyond	which C <cox10-9< th=""></cox10-9<>
Nuclide	Half life years	Pe ≖∞	Pe = 5.0	Pe = 0.5
Cm-245	9300	1-3	3-10	30-100
Pu-241	13.2	<1	1-3	10-30
Am-241	458	<1	1-3	3-10
Np-237	2.14 •10 ⁶	30-100	300-1000	3000 - 10 ⁴
U-233	1.62.10 ⁵	10-30	100-300	300-1000
Th-229	7340	3-10	10-30	100-300
	Tota	L 44-145	415-1346	3443-11,440

Table 3. The decay chain leading to Th-229 (Grundfelt, 1978)

Table 4. The decay chain leading to Ra-226. The chain via U-238 is ignored due to the very long half life of U-238: 4.5 \cdot 10⁹ years and the small amount coming from this chain (KBS, 1978; Grundfelt, 1978)*

		<u>an</u>	Distance (m) beyond	which C <cox10-9< th=""></cox10-9<>	
Nuclide	Half life years	Pe = ∞	Pe = 5.0	Pe = 0.5	
Am-242M	152	<1	<1	3-10	
Cm-242	0.5	<1	<1	<1	
Pu-238	86	1-3	3-10	30-100	
U-234	2.47.10 ⁵	10-30	100-300	1000-3000	
Th-230	8.0 · 10 ⁴	10-30	30-100	300-1000	
Ra-226	1600	3-10	10-30	100-300	
1,1 1 − 1 − 1 − 1 − 1 − 1 − 1 − 1 − 1 −	Total	24-75	143-442	1433-4411	

* Uranium will be practically immobilized in a reducing water because of its low solubility.

Longitudinal dispersion has a large impact on the early arrival of many radionuclides — distances travelled before decay may differ by orders of magnitude. If the dispersion effect is of the magnitude considered in this study, it significantly diminishes the strong retardation effect due to matrix diffusion.

The Peclet numbers used in the examples, were based on a recent compilation of about 50 field measurements (Lallemand-Barrès and Peaudecerf, 1978). The measurements are from widely different media, and the spread in the data is over several magnitudes. It is clear that dispersion effects in fissured consolidated media require better understanding. APPENDIX

METHOD OF INTEGRATION

Because of the complicated nature of the integral expression for C_f/C_o , numerical integration must be performed. Consider the integral:

$$I = \int_{0}^{\infty} f(\lambda) d\lambda$$
 (1)

The straightforward numerical calculation of I is accomplished by first replacing the infinite integral I by the finite integral $\int_{0}^{\lambda} \max f(\lambda) d\lambda$, and then replacing this finite integral by an approximating summation S. Thus:

$$I = S + \varepsilon_{S} + \varepsilon_{T}$$
⁽²⁾

where S is the sum actually computed, and ϵ_{s} and ϵ_{T} are the summation and truncation errors, respectively.

However, due to the very rapid oscillation of $f(\lambda)$ for certain parameter values, this straightforward method may fail. The function $f(\lambda)$ is the product of an exponential decaying function and a periodic sine function. The total function is thus a decaying sine wave, in which both the period of oscillation and the degree of decay are functions of the system parameters. It may be seen that the decay is slow for low values of δ , and further, that the period of the sine function is short for large values of y.

In some instances, the magnitude of the integrand is still considerable after a thousand oscillations of the sine wave. With ordinary integration methods, one must choose a step size which is small with respect to the wave length. Thus, some special integration method must be devised for the cases mentioned above. Different integration methods were used for rapidly and for slowly oscillating integrands. They are separately discussed below.

REPLACEMENT OF THE INTEGRAL BY AN ALTERNATING SERIES

Before going into a detailed discussion of the integration methods, we analyze I more thoroughly. It is clear that $f(\lambda)$ changes sign for $\lambda = \lambda_n$, where λ_n are the roots of sin $h(\lambda) = 0$. One could therefore subdivide the integration interval into subintervals $(\lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1})$ and replace the integral by a sum of integrals with alternating signs:

$$I_{n} = \int_{\lambda_{n}}^{\lambda_{n+1}} \frac{\exp g(\lambda)}{\lambda} |\sin h(\lambda)| d\lambda$$

$$I = I_{0} + \sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} I_{n}$$
(4)

where $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_n$ are successive zeros of sin $h(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$.

Since both $\exp g(\lambda)/\lambda$ and the period of the sine function decrease with λ , this is an alternating series with monotonically decreasing terms. According to Leibnitz's convergence test, the series converges, The solutions of :

$$\mathbf{F}(\lambda_{n}) = \mathbf{h}(\lambda_{n}) - \mathbf{n}\pi = 0$$
⁽⁵⁾

were obtained by Newton-Raphson's method.

In this iterative method, λ^{i+1} (where i denotes iteration step) is defined as the abscissa of the point of intersection between the λ -axis and the tangent to the curve F(λ) in the point (λ^{i} , F(λ^{i})). Thus, the iteration sequence is defined by:

$$\lambda^{i+1} = \lambda^{i} - \frac{F(\lambda^{i})}{F'(\lambda^{i})}$$
(6)

If the initial approximation λ^{o} is adequate, convergence will be rapid.

When $D_L = 0$ and $\lambda_n > 5$ (using the limiting expressions of H_D_1 and H_D_2) equation (5) is a second-order algebraic equation with the solution:

$$\lambda_{n} = \frac{\delta}{2\sigma\theta} \left(\frac{+}{2}\right) \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta}{2\sigma\theta}\right)^{2} + \frac{n\pi}{\sigma\theta}}$$
(7)

This value was used as the starting approximation, for $\lambda_n > 5$, in the cases where $D_L > 0$. The convergence of (6) was then very rapid. When $\lambda_n < 5$, the limiting expressions of H_{D_1} and H_{D_2} are no longer applicable, and equation (7) is not valid. Newton-Raphson's method was then employed with the initial approximation $\lambda^0 = 1$.

INTEGRATION

$f(\lambda)$ slowly oscillating

The integration for these cases were carried out with Newton-Cotes' formula. An adaptive Newton - Cotes algorithm (QNC7) from the Sandia Mathematical Program Library was used in the calculations. The upper limit of the integral, λ_{max} , was estimated as follows. We have:

$$\varepsilon_{\rm T} = \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \exp g(\lambda) \sin h(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} < \exp g(\lambda_{\rm max})$$
(8)
max

Put:

$$g(\lambda_{max}) = -c$$
 (9)

If \mathbf{D}_{L} = 0 and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\text{max}}$ >5 we get simply:

$$\lambda_{\max} = \frac{c+\delta}{\delta}$$
(10)

If $D_L > 0$, $\lambda_{max} > 5$ and furthermore making the approximation (this gives an overestimate of λ_{max}):

$$z^2 y' = \delta Pe \left(\frac{2}{3} \frac{\lambda^2}{R} + \lambda\right) \simeq \delta Pe \lambda$$
 (11)

equation (9) is simplified to a second-order algebraic equation with the positive solution:

$$\lambda_{\max} = \frac{2(\frac{1}{2} Pe + c)}{\delta Pe} \left[\sqrt{2(\frac{1}{2} Pe + c)^2 - \frac{1}{4} Pe^2 + \delta Pe - (\frac{1}{2} Pe + c)} \right] (12)$$

In the rare cases where $\lambda_{max} < 5$, Newton-Raphson's iterative method was employed to solve equation (9). The initial approximations were taken from equations (10) and (12) respectively. Newton-Raphson's method was also used when K < 0.5 and the approximation (11) becomes crude. The value of c was taken as 40 giving a truncation error less than 10⁻¹⁷. The summation error was less than 10⁻¹⁰.

$f(\lambda)$ rapidly oscillating

In these cases the series form of I, equation (4), was used. Though the convergence of the alternating series is very slow, it can be accelerated. One way to do this is by repeated averaging of the partial sums. Let S_n be the sum of the first n+1 terms. The columns to the right of the S_n -column in the example given below are formed by building averages: each number in such a column is the mean of the two numbers which stand to the upper left and lower left of the number itself.

s _n	Ml	^M 2
.3029834E-01	1647013F-01	
.2641914E-02	1536054F-01	.1591533E-01
.2807916E-01	1630578F-01	.1583316E-01
.4532395E-02	1549085F-01	.1589831E-01
.2644931E-01	1620070E-01	.1584578E-01
.5952089E-02	1557682E 01	.1588876E-01
.2520154E-01	.1557002E-01	.1585315E-01
.7057413E-02	.1012948E-01	.1588298E-01
.2421556E-01	.1363649E-01	.1585774E-01
.7942416E-02	.1607899E-01	.1587929E- 01
.2341676E-01	.156/959E-01	.1586074E-01
.8667031E-02	.1604190E-01	.1587682E-01
.2275644E-01	.15/11/4E-01	.1586279E-01
.9271260E-02	.1601385E-01	.1587510E-01
.2220144E-01	.1573635E-01	.1586424E-01
.9782815E-02	15755628 01	.1587387E-01
.2172842E-01	.1575502E-01	.1586529E-01
.1022150E-01	.1597496E-01	.1587297E-01
.2132046E-01	.15//098E-01	.1586607E-01
.1060186E-01	.10901105-01	

Note that the values in each column oscillate. It can be shown in general (Dahlquist and Björck,1974) that for alternating series, if the absolute value of the j:th term (considered as a function of j) has a k:th derivative which approaches zero monotonically for $j > n_0$, then every other value in column M_k is larger than the sum, and every other value is smaller. This condition is clearly satisfied here. Twenty terms of the alternating series were generally used. The averaging procedure was continued until the accuracy 10^{-8} was obtained. This occurred after at most k = 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was sponsored by KBS, Sweden, and performed at the Earth Science Division at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratories where many stimulating discussions aided its progress.

Ъ	half width of fissure	2	m
С	concentration in liq	uid	mo1/m ³
^{C}f	concentration in liq	uid in fissures	mol/m ³
С _р	concentration in liq	uid in microfissures	mo1/m ³
Cs	concentration in sol:	id rock	mo1/m ³
с _о	inlet concentration :	in the liquid	mo1/m ³
Da	apparent diffusivity	y in microfissures	m^2/s
DL	longitudinal dispers:	ion coefficient	m^2/s
Dp	diffusivity in water	in pores	m^2/s
D _v	diffusivity in water		m^2/s
g	gravitational constar	it	m/s^2
^H 1	see equation	(17)	
^H 2	see equation	(18)	
H _D	see equation	(19)	
H _D	see equation	(20)	
i	hydraulic gradient		m/m
K	volume equilibrium co	nstant	m^3/m^3
К р	hydraulic conductivit	у	m/s
^k f	mass transfer coeffic	ient	m/s
m	$= \frac{\varepsilon_{\rm f}}{1 - \varepsilon_{\rm f}}$		
Pe	$= \frac{z U_f}{D_L}$, Peclet number		
∆ q	volume averaged conce	ntration in blocks	mol/m ³

۹ _i	internal concentration in blocks	$mo1/m^3$
qs	= $q_{i}(r_{o}, z, t)$	mo1/m ³
R	$=\frac{K}{m}$, distribution ratio	
₽ _F	$=\frac{r_o}{3k_f}$, film resistance	S
r	radial distance from center of spherical particle	m
ro	effective spherical radius	m
S	fissure spacing	m
t	time	S
U _f	average velocity of water in fissures	m/s
x'	see equation (15)	
y.	= Jt, contact time parameter	
y ¹	see equation (16)	
z	distance in flow direction	m

Greek letters

Ŷ	$= \frac{3 D_a K}{r_o^2}$	s ⁻¹
ô	$=\frac{\gamma z}{mU_{f}}$, bed length parameter	
r D	constrictivity for diffusion	
ε _f	porosity of fissures	
ε _p	porosity of rock matrix	
λ	variable of integration	
d	decay constant of radionuclide	s ⁻¹
L.	viscosity of water	Ns/m^2
5	$= \gamma R_{\rm F}$	
Ç	density of water	kg/m^3
C	$=\frac{2}{r_{o}^{2}}$	s ⁻¹

Allard, B., H. Kipatsi and B. Torstenfelt: Adsorption of long lived radionuclides in clay and rock, Part 2. Chalmers University of Technology, April 1978. KBS Technical Report 98.

Burkholder, H.C., M.O. Cloninger, D.A. Baker and G. Jansen: Incentives for partitioning high-level waste. Nuclear Tech. 31, 202 (1976).

Dahlquist, G. and Å. Björck: Numerical methods. Prentice-Hall 1974.

Fried, J.J. and M.A. Combarnous: Dispersion in porous media, in Advances in hydroscience (V.T. Chow ed.) 7, 170 (1971).

Grundfelt, B.: Nuclide migration from a rock repository for spent fuel. Kemakta konsult AB, Stockholm, Aug. 1978, KBS Technical Report No.77.

Handbook of Chemistry and Physics. CRC Press, Inc., 58th edition, 1977-1978.

Jacks, G.: Ground water chemistry at depth in granites and gneisses. Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, April 1978, KBS Technical Report No. 88.

KBS Technical Report No. 90. Copper as an encapsulation material for unreprocessed nuclear waste - evaluation from the viewpoint of corrosion. Final report, March 1978. The Swedish Corrosion Research Institute and its reference group.

KBS-report. Handling of spent nuclear fuel and final storage of vitrified high-level reprocessing waste. Volume IV, Safety Analysis. KBS, Stockholm, Nov. 1977. KBS-report. Handling and final storage of unreprocessed spent nuclear fuel. Volume II. Technical. KBS, Stockholm, 1978.

KBS-report: "Förglasat avfall från upparbetning: Kompletterande geologiska undersökningar", Stockholm, 1979.

Klotz, D. and H. Moser: Hydrodynamic dispersion as aquifer characteristic. Model experiments by means of radioactive tracers. Int. Atomic Energy Agency. Symp. on Isotope Techniques in Groundwater Hydrology, Vienna IAEA-SM-182/42 (1974).

Lallemand-Barrès, A. and P. Peaudecerf: Recherche des relations entre la valeur de la dispersivité macroscopique d'un milieu aquifère, ses autres caractéristiques et les conditions de mesure. Bull. B.R.G.M. (2) III, 4, 277 (1978).

Neretnieks, I.: Analysis of some washing experiments of cooked chips. The Swedish Paper J. 75, 819 (1972).

Neretnieks, I.: Diffusion in the rock matrix - An important factor in radionuclide retardation? J. Geophys. Res. 85, 4379-4397, 1980.

Raimondi, D., G.H.F. Gardner and C.B. Petrick: Effect of pore structure and molecular diffusion on the mixing of miscible liquids flowing in porous media. AIChE Soc. Pet. Eng. 52nd Ann.Meet. San Francisco, Preprint 43 (1959)

Rasmuson, A. and I. Neretnieks: Exact solution of a model for diffusion in particles and longitudinal dispersion in packed beds. AIChE J. <u>26</u>, 686 (1980).

Robertson, J.B.: Application of digital modelling to the prediction of radioisotope migration in groundwater. Int. Atomic Energy Agency Symp. on Isotope Techniques in Groundwater Hydrology, Vienna IAEA-SM-182/50 (1974).

Rosen, J.B.: Kinetics of a fixed bed system for solid diffusion into spherical particles. J. Chem. Phys. <u>20</u>, 387 (1952).

Snow, D.T.: Rock fracture spacings, openings and porosities. J. Soil Mech. Found. Div., Amer. Soc. Civil Eng., <u>94</u> (SM1), 73 (1968).

Figure captions

- Figure 1 Upper limit of integral, $\lambda_{max} = \lambda_{max}(\delta, Pe)$, with the truncation error less than e^{-20} (c = 20).
- Figures 2-7 Dimensionless breakthrough curves (without decay) for $R \rightarrow \infty$. $\delta = 10^{-8}$, 10^{-4} , 10^{-2} , 1, 10^2 , 10^4 and Pe = 0.5, 5.0, ∞ .
- Figures 8-11 Dimensionless breakthrough curves (without decay). The influence of R. $\delta = 10^{-8}$, 10^{-4} and Pe = 0.5, ∞ .
- Figures 12-14 Breakthrough curves at the distance 1000 m and Peclet numbers 0.5, 5.0, ∞ for the 15 most important radionuclides in spent fuel. The case depicted applies for the nuclides originally present in the repository. $K_p = 10^{-9}$ m/s, i = 0.003 m/m, $D_p \varepsilon_p = 10^{-12}$ m²/s, S = 50 m.



FIGURE 1

•











XBL 7911-13463





FIGURE 13



1977-78

TR 121 KBS Technical Reports 1 - 120. Summaries. Stockholm, May 1979.

1979

TR 79-28 The KBS Annual Report 1979. KBS Technical Reports 79-01--79-27. Summaries. Stockholm, March 1980.

1980

- TR 80-01 Komplettering och sammanfattning av geohydrologiska undersökningar inom sternöområdet, Karlshamn Lennart Ekman Bengt Gentzschein Sveriges geologiska undersökning, mars 1980
- TR 80-02 Modelling of rock mass deformation for radioactive waste repositories in hard rock Ove Stephansson Per Jonasson Department of Rock Mechanics University of Luleå

Tommy Groth Department of Soil and Rock Mechanics Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm 1980-01-29

- TR 80-03 GETOUT a one-dimensional model for groundwater transport of radionuclide decay chains Bertil Grundfelt Mark Elert Kemakta konsult AB, January 1980
- TR 80-04 Helium retention Summary of reports and memoranda Gunnar Berggren Studsvik Energiteknik AB, 1980-02-14

- TR 80-05 On the description of the properties of fractured rock using the concept of a porous medium John Stokes Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm 1980-05-09
- TR 80-06 Alternativa ingjutningstekniker för radioaktiva jonbytarmassor och avfallslösningar Claes Thegerström Studsvik Energiteknik AB, 1980-01-29
- TR 80-07 A calculation of the radioactivity induced in PWR cluster control rods with the origen and casmo codes Kim Ekberg Studsvik Energiteknik AB, 1980-03-12
- TR 80-08 Groundwater dating by means of isotopes A brief review of methods for dating old groundwater by means of isotopes A computing model for carbon - 14 ages in groundwater Barbro Johansson Naturgeografiska Institutionen Uppsala Universitet, August 1980
- TR 80-09 The Bergshamra earthquake sequence of December 23, 1979 Ota Kulhánek, Norris John, Klaus Meyer, Torild van Eck and Rutger Wahlström Seismological Section, Uppsala University Uppsala, Sweden, August 1980
- TR 80-10 Kompletterande permeabilitetsmätningar i finnsjöområdet Leif Carlsson, Bengt Gentzschein, Gunnar Gidlund, Kenth Hansson, Torbjörn Svenson, Ulf Thoregren Sveriges geologiska undersökning, Uppsala, maj 1980
- TR 80-11 Water uptake, migration and swelling characteristics of unsaturated and saturated, highly compacted bentonite Roland Pusch Luleå 1980-09-20 Division Soil Mechanics, University of Luleå
- TR 80-12 Drilling holes in rock for final storage of spent nuclear fuel Gunnar Nord Stiftelsen Svensk Detonikforskning, september 1980
- TR 80-13 Swelling pressure of highly compacted bentonite Roland Pusch Division Soil Mechanics, University of Luleå Luleå 1980-08-20
- TR-80-14 Properties and long-term behaviour of bitumen and radioactive waste-bitumen mixtures Hubert Eschrich Eurochemic, Mol, October 1980

- TR 80-15 Aluminium oxide as an encapsulation material for unreprocessed nuclear fuel waste - evaluation from the viewpoint of corrosion Final Report 1980-03-19 Swedish Corrosion Institute and its reference group
- TR 80-16 Permeability of highly compacted bentonite Roland Pusch Division Soil Mechanics, University of Luleå 1980-12-23
- TR 80-17 Input description for BIOPATH Jan-Erik Marklund Ulla Bergström Ove Edlund Studsvik Energiteknik AB, 1980-01-21
- TR 80-18 Införande av tidsberoende koefficientmatriser i BIOPATH Jan-Erik Marklund Studsvik Energiteknik AB, januari 1980
- TR 80-19 Hydrothermal conditions around a radioactive waste repository Part 1 A mathematical model for the flow of groundwater and heat in fractured rock Part 2 Numerical solutions Roger Thunvik Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden Carol Braester Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel December 1980
- TR 80-20 BEGAFIP. Programvård, utveckling och benchmarkberäkningar Göran Olsson Peter Hägglöf Stanley Svensson Studsvik Energiteknik AB, 1980-12-14
- TR 80-21 Report on techniques and methods for surface characterization of glasses and ceramics Bengt Kasemo Mellerud, August 1980

TR 80-22 Evaluation of five glasses and a glass-ceramic for solidification of Swedish nuclear waste Larry L Hench Ladawan Urwongse Ceramics Division Department of Materials Science and Engineering University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 1980-08-16

- TR 80-23 Exact solution of a model for diffusion in particles and longitudinal dispersion in packed beds Anders Rasmuson Ivars Neretnieks Royal Institute of Technology, August 1979
- TR 80-24 Migration of radionuclides in fissured rock The influence of micropore diffusion and longitudinal dispersion Anders Rasmuson Ivars Neretnieks Royal Institute of Technology, December 1979
- TR 80-25 Diffusion and sorption in particles and twodimensional dispersion in a porous media Anders Rasmuson Royal Institute of Technology, January 1980