# **SKIP** TECHNICAL **96-16** REPORT

# Diffusion of I<sup>-</sup>, Cs<sup>+</sup> and Sr<sup>2+</sup> in compacted bentonite

- Anion exclusion and surface diffusion

Trygve E Eriksen, Mats Jansson

Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Chemistry, Nuclear Chemistry, Stockholm

November 1996

#### SVENSK KÄRNBRÄNSLEHANTERING AB SWEDISH NUCLEAR FUEL AND WASTE MANAGEMENT CO P.O.BOX 5864 S-102 40 STOCKHOLM SWEDEN PHONE +46 8 665 28 00 FAX +46 8 661 57 19

# DIFFUSION OF I', Cs<sup>+</sup> AND Sr<sup>2+</sup> IN COMPACTED BENTONITE

# ANION EXCLUSION AND SURFACE DIFFUSION

Trygve E Eriksen, Mats Jansson

Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Chemistry, Nuclear Chemistry, Stockholm

November 1996

This report concerns a study which was conducted for SKB. The conclusions and viewpoints presented in the report are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily coincide with those of the client.

Information on SKB technical reports from1977-1978 (TR 121), 1979 (TR 79-28), 1980 (TR 80-26), 1981 (TR 81-17), 1982 (TR 82-28), 1983 (TR 83-77), 1984 (TR 85-01), 1985 (TR 85-20), 1986 (TR 86-31), 1987 (TR 87-33), 1988 (TR 88-32), 1989 (TR 89-40), 1990 (TR 90-46), 1991 (TR 91-64), 1992 (TR 92-46), 1993 (TR 93-34), 1994 (TR 94-33) and 1995 (TR 95-37) is available through SKB.

# Diffusion of I<sup>-</sup>, Cs<sup>+</sup> and Sr<sup>2+</sup> in Compacted Bentonite.

# Anion exclusion and surface diffusion.

Trygve E. Eriksen and Mats Jansson

Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Chemistry Nuclear Chemistry, S-100 44 Stockholm 70

# ABSTRACT

The diffusion of  $I^{-}$ ,  $Cs^{+}$  and  $Sr^{2+}$  in bentonite compacted to a dry density of 1.8 g cm<sup>-3</sup> and saturated with two ground waters of different ionic strength have been studied experimentally using the through diffusion technique.

The I<sup>-</sup> diffusivity and diffusion porosity were found to be concentration independent in the concentration range  $10^{-8}$  to  $10^{-2}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>. The diffusion porosity, being only a fraction of the water porosity for normal ground waters, is strongly ionic strength dependent due to anion exclusion.

The dependence of the diffusion of  $Cs^+$  and  $Sr^{2+}$  on the sorption intensity is accommodated by a model encompassing diffusion of the sorbed cations within the electrical double layer next to the mineral surface in addition to diffusion in the pore water.

# SAMMANFATTNING

Rapporten beskriver diffusionsförsök i bentonit kompakterad till tätheten 1.8 g cm<sup>-3</sup> och jämviktad med NaCl och simulerade grundvattenlösningar.

Diffusionskonstanter och fördelningskoefficienter  $(K_d)$  har beräknats genom att simulera genombrottskurvor och koncentrationsprofiler i bentoniten.

Diffusionens beroende av lösningarnas jonstyrka kan för katjonerna  $Cs^+$ ,  $Sr^{2+}$  bäst förklaras av en modell som omfattar två diffusionsmekanismer, diffusion i porvattnet och diffusion av sorberade katjoner.

Jodid-diffusionens jonstyrkeberoende, ökande diffusionsporositet med ökande jonstyrka, förklaras av anjonförträngning från små porer i den vattenmättade bentoniten.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	ABSTRACT	i
	SAMMANFATTNING	ii
	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	iv
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2. 2.1 2.2 2.3	EXPERIMENTAL Materials Diffusion Experiments Sorption	1 1 2 3
3. 3.1 3.2	DATA EVALUATION Iodide diffusion Cesium and Strontium diffusion	3 3 3
4. 4.1 4.2	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Iodide Cesium and Strontium	5 5 6
5.	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	8
	FIGURES	9
	REFERENCES	19

#### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The diffusion of  $I^{\circ}$ ,  $Cs^{+}$  and  $Sr^{2+}$  in Wyoming MX-80 Na-bentonite, compacted to 1.8 g cm<sup>-3</sup> dry density and saturated with NaCl solutions or synthetic groundwater solutions with different ionic strength, was studied experimentally by a through diffusion technique.

The apparent and effective diffusivity of  $\Gamma$  were found to be concentration independent in the concentration range  $10^{-8}$  to  $10^{-2}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>. The diffusivities are increasing with increasing ionic strength of the ground water, due to anion exclusion which is ionic strength dependent. The apparent and effective diffusion constant in bentonite equilibrated with saline ground water (NASK-solution) were found to be  $D_a = 9.2(+/-1.3) \cdot 10^{-7}$  and  $D_e = 7.0(+/-1.7) \cdot 10^{-8}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

The corresponding diffusivities in bentonite equilibrated with granitic ground water (Allard-solution) are  $D_a = 3.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$ ,  $D_e = 2.1 \cdot 10^{-9}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.

The distribution coefficients  $K_d$  (cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>) for Cs<sup>+</sup> and Sr<sup>2+</sup>, calculated by simulation of the break through curves and concentration profiles from the diffusion experiments, fall well within the range of distribution coefficients observed in batch sorption experiments with bentonite suspensions and compacted bentonite and can be calculated for groundwaters with different ionic strength I (mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) using the equations.

 $\label{eq:Kd} \begin{array}{l} \log \, K_d \, (\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}) = 0.6 (+\!/\text{-}0.3) - 1.28 (+\!/\text{-}0.06) \, \log \, \mathrm{I} \\ \log \, K_d \, (\mathrm{Cs}^+) = 1.54 (+\!/\text{-}0.3) - 0.58 (+\!/\text{-}0.09) \, \log \, \mathrm{I}. \end{array}$ 

The observed dependence of the diffusivity of  $Cs^+$  and  $Sr^{2+}$  on the distribution coefficient is best accommodated by a model based on the assumption of diffusion within the electrical double layer next to the mineral surface in addition to pore water diffusion i.e. the diffusion constants are given by the equations

$$\begin{split} D_{a} &= \epsilon D_{p} / (\epsilon + K_{d} \rho) + K_{d} \rho D_{s} / (\epsilon + K_{d} \rho) \\ D_{e} &= D_{a} (\epsilon + K_{d} \rho). \end{split}$$

By using the water porosity, 0.32 for bentonite compacted to the dry density  $\rho = 1.8 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , the pore water and surface diffusivities were found to be  $D_p = 8 \cdot 10^{-6}$ ,  $D_s = 6 \cdot 10^{-9}$  and  $D_p = 3 \cdot 10^{-6}$ ,  $D_s = 1 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  for Cs<sup>+</sup> and Sr<sup>2+</sup> respectively.

Water	Ionic strength	Sr <sup>2+</sup>		Cs⁺	
		Da	De	Da	De
		$\mathrm{cm}^2\mathrm{s}^{-1}$	cm <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	$\mathrm{cm}^2\mathrm{s}^{-1}$	cm <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
Allard	0.018	1.2.10-7	6.7.10 <sup>-5</sup>	$1.0 \cdot 10^{-8}$	6.4·10 <sup>-6</sup>
NASK	0.218	1.0.10-7	6.0.10-6	2.3.10-8	3.5.10-6

The  $Sr^{2+}$  and  $Cs^+$  diffusivities in bentonite compacted to dry density 1.8 cm<sup>-3</sup> and equilibrated with granite (Allard) and saline ground water are accordingly

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Characteristic features of the clay to be used as buffer material in repositories for spent nuclear fuel are low permeability, high sorptivity and long term stability. The low permeability of compacted bentonite prevents material transport by hydraulic flow and makes diffusion the principal mechanism for transport of corrosive solutes to the surface of the waste canister and migration of radionuclides released on failure of a waste canister.

The diffusive transport of solutes through compacted bentonite is governed by the geometrical microstructure of the pore network i.e. the parameters porosity, tortuosity, constrictivity, and the interaction between the three components diffusing solute, water and clay. Despite extensive studies several of the processes involved are not, due to the complicated microstructure of the bentonite, fully understood. The diffusion of some sorbing solutes e.g. the cations Na<sup>+</sup>, Cs<sup>+</sup> and Sr<sup>2+</sup> has repeatedly been reported to be faster than predicted from a model based on diffusion in the aqueous phase and immobilisation by sorption on the solid phase. Diffusion, or less sorption, i.e. a lower K<sub>d</sub> value in the compacted than in freely expanded clay, have been offered to explain the experimental results. Van Schaik et al (1966), Rasmuson and Neretnieks (1983), Torstenfelt (1983), Eriksen and Jacobsson (1981,1984), Soudek et al (1984), Jahnke and Radke (1987), Muurinen et al (1985,1987, 1990,1994), Cheung (1989), Cook (1989), Choi et al (1992), Berry and Bond (1992), Kim et al (1993).

To shed some light on surface diffusion, if it exists, in bentonite clay and its relative importance to the function of bentonite as a barrier to radionuclide diffusion, we have studied the diffusive transport of  $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$  and  $\mathrm{Cs}^+$  in compacted bentonite using a through diffusion technique. Apparent diffusivity and transport  $K_d$  are, in each experiment, obtained by computer simulation of the experimentally measured cumulative flux through a plug of compacted bentonite and the concentration profile within the bentonite. Due to the particular interest in  $\mathrm{Cs}^+$  and  $\Gamma$  which can possibly be released from a damaged spent fuel canister we have also studied the diffusion of these ions in the concentration range  $10^{-2}$  to  $10^{-8}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>.

### 2. EXPERIMENTAL

#### **2.1 Materials**

The bentonite used in this investigation is the American Colloid Co. type MX-80 (Wyoming Na-bentonite). The bentonite (MX-80) has a clay content ( $< 2\mu$ m) of approximately 85% and a montmorillonite content of 80-90 wt% of this fraction. The remaining silt fraction contains quartz, feldspar and some micas, sulphides and oxides (Pusch and Karnland 1986). Two different synthetic groundwater solutions (Allard and NASK) were used in the diffusion experiments, see Table 1.

#### Table 1

NASK		1	Allard		
NaCl	140 mM	NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	2 mM		
KHCO <sub>3</sub>	2 mM	$CaCl_2$	0.45 mM		
CaCl <sub>2</sub>	20 mM	KCl	0.1 mM		
MgSO <sub>4</sub>	$4 \mathrm{mM}$	NaSiO <sub>4</sub>	0.2 mM		
pH	7.7	MgSO <sub>4</sub>	0.1 mM		
Ionic strength	0.218	$MgCl_2$	0.78 mM		
U		NaCl	10 mM		
		pН	8 - 8.2		
		Îonic strength	0.0182		

Composition of ground water solutions used in the sorption and diffusion experiments.

Simulated ground waters and NaCl solutions with different concentration were used to vary the ionic strength in the sorption experiments. The solutions were prepared from analytical grade chemicals and Millipore deionized, triple distilled water. The radionuclides used, <sup>134</sup>Cs (Amersham) and <sup>85</sup>Sr, <sup>125</sup>I (DuPont Scandinavia) were purchased in aqueous solution. Tracer solutions were prepared by adding small aliquots of the stock solution to the solutions used in the experiments. The overall diffusant concentration was obtained by adding small volumes of standardized inactive solutions.

#### **2.2 Diffusion experiments**

The diffusion cell, made of PEEK, is shown schematically in Figure 1. Bentonite was statically compacted in the diffusion cylinder (internal diameter 10 mm and 5 mm long ) to a dry density of 1.8 kg dm<sup>-3</sup>. The diffusion cylinder and endplates containing in and outlet channels fitted with metallic filters (0.82 mm thick) were assembled and the clay equilibrated with the aqueous solution for at least three weeks by pumping solution from reservoirs through the end plate channels, see Figure 2. After water saturation a small volume of diffusant solution, containing the tracer and inactive carrier required to give the chosen diffusant concentration, was added to the reservoir at the inlet side of the diffusion cell. The activity of the solutions in inlet and outlet reservoirs were monitored by  $\gamma$ -spectrometry on small sample volumes using a germanium detector and multichannel analyzer. The volume of the inlet reservoir was sufficient to keep the concentration nearly constant (within a few percent) throughout the experiments. At the end of the Cs<sup>+</sup> and Sr<sup>2+</sup> experiments the diffusion cell was dismantled and the bentonite sliced into thin sections. Each section was weighed and the activity measured by  $\gamma$ -counting.

The diffusion properties of the filters were measured in separate through diffusion experiments, using a package of five filters, and the filter porosity was measured by weighing dry and water saturated filters.

#### **2.3 Sorption**

Sorption was measured in batch experiments with 0.1 g bentonite and 20 cm<sup>3</sup> spiked diffusant solutions.

#### **3. DATA EVALUATION**

#### 3.1 Iodide diffusion

The filters, being thin (0.82 mm) and with porosity (0.26) comparable to the water porosity of the compacted bentonite (0.32), were judged to have but a slight effect on the diffusion of iodide through the diffusion cell. The effective and apparent diffusion constants were therefore evaluated using equations (1) and (2) respectively (Eriksen and Jacobsson 1984).

$$D_e = J L / A[C_o-C_t]$$
(1)  
$$D_a = L^2 / 6 t_e$$
(2)

$$D_e$$
 effective diffusion constant (cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)

- $D_a$  apparent diffusion constant (cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)
- J flux through the diffusion cell (Bq  $s^{-1}$ )
- L thickness of the bentonite plug (cm)
- A surface area perpendicular to the diffusion direction  $(cm^2)$
- $C_o$  concentration in the inlet reservoir (const) (Bq cm<sup>-3</sup>)
- $C_t$  concentration in the outlet reservoir (Bq cm<sup>-3</sup>)
- t<sub>e</sub> time lag, the point where the asymptote of the break through curve intercepts the time axis (See Figure 3)

#### 3.2 Cesium and strontium diffusion.

For sorbing diffusants, e.g.  $Cs^+$  and  $Sr^{2+}$ , evaluation of diffusion parameters using Equations 1 and 2 will be strongly influenced by the presence of filters in the diffusion cell. In evaluating the experimental diffusion data for  $Cs^+$  and  $Sr^{2+}$  an analysis of the complete diffusion system, as represented schematically in Figure 4 is required.





Schematic representation of the barriers in the diffusion cell.

The diffusive transport through the inlet filter (-F < x < 0) is given by equation (3)

$$\mathbf{J} = -\mathbf{A} \, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{f}} \, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}} \, (\partial \mathbf{C}_1 \,/ \, \partial \mathbf{x}) \tag{3}$$

and the boundary conditions

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{-F}, \mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{C}_0$$

- $\epsilon_{\rm f}$  filter porosity
- $D_f$  apparent diffusion constant in filter (cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)
- F filter thickness (cm)
- $C_1$  concentration in filter ( Bq cm<sup>-3</sup> )
- $C_0$  concentration in inlet solution (Bq cm<sup>-3</sup>)

The diffusive transport through the compacted bentonite (0 < x < L) is given by equation (4)

$$\mathbf{J} = -\mathbf{A} \, \varepsilon \, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{a}} \, \mathbf{R} \, (\partial \mathbf{C}_2 \,/\, \partial \mathbf{x}) \tag{4}$$

- $\begin{array}{l} R & \mbox{capacity (retardation) factor defined as the ratio of the overall concentration of diffusant} \\ & \mbox{in the compacted bentonite to the concentration in the solution accessible for diffusion} \\ & \mbox{(}R = \epsilon + \rho \ K_d \ / \ \epsilon \ ) \end{array}$
- $C_2$  concentration in pore solution (Bq cm<sup>-3</sup>).

At the boundary between the inlet filter and the compacted bentonite we have the following conditions

$$C_1 (0,t) = C_2 (0,t)$$
  

$$\varepsilon_f D_f (\partial C_1 / \partial x)_{x=0} = \varepsilon D_a R (\partial C_2 / \partial t)_{x=0}$$
(no storage in the boundary)

The corresponding transport equation and boundary conditions for the outlet filter are given by

$$J = -A \epsilon_{f} D_{f} (\partial C_{3} / \partial x)$$

$$C_{2} (L,t) = C_{3} (L,t)$$

$$\epsilon D_{a} R (\partial C_{2} / \partial x)_{x=L} = \epsilon_{f} D_{f} (\partial C_{3} / \partial x)_{x=L}$$

$$C_{3} (L+F, t) = 0$$
(5)

The accumulated flow of diffusant through the outlet filter Q(t) is given by the flux through the boundary x = L + F integrated from time zero to t

$$Q(t) = A \varepsilon_{f} D_{f} \int_{0}^{t} (\partial C_{3}(x,t) / \partial x)_{x=L+F} dt$$
(6)

An analytical solution to equation (6), defining the break through curve, can be obtained by the Laplace transform method (Put 1991). However, to make use of all the experimental data i.e. the accumulated flow and the concentration profile within the compacted bentonite, we have chosen to use a finite difference based simulation code (ANADIFF). The code ANADIFF calculates the apparent diffusion coefficient  $D_a$  and the sorption coefficient for transport  $K_d$ . In the simulations A,  $\varepsilon_f$ ,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $D_f$ ,  $C_0$ , F, L and  $\rho$  are kept constant while  $D_a$  and  $K_d$  are varied.

#### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 Iodide

Measured apparent and effective diffusion constants for I<sup>-</sup> in compacted ( $\rho_{dry} = 1.8 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ) NASK-water equilibrated bentonite are given in Table 2 together with corresponding diffusion constants measured in an earlier study with Allard-water saturated bentonite. As can be seen, there in no concentration dependence within the concentration range  $10^{-2}$  to  $10^{-6}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup> for the NASK-water equilibrated bentonite. In Allard-water saturated bentonite the apparent and effective diffusion constants are approximately 2-3 and 30 times respectively smaller than the corresponding diffusion constants in Allard-water saturated bentonite.

Neglecting possible slight sorption effects the I transport can be assumed to take place by pore water diffusion only. The relation between the apparent and effective diffusion constant is thus given by  $D_e = D_a \varepsilon_p$ . The calculated diffusion porosities ( $\varepsilon_p$ ) are given in Table 2.

#### Table 2.

Solution	I <sup>-</sup> conc mol dm <sup>-3</sup>	$D_a$ $cm^2 s^{-1}$	$D_e$ $cm^2 s^{-1}$	E <sub>d</sub>	
NASK	10 <sup>-2</sup>	8 6. 10 <sup>-7</sup>	5 3.10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.06	
NASK	$10^{-3}$ $10^{-4}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-6}$ 8 7 · 10^{-7}	$6.5 \cdot 10^{-8}$ 9 4 · 10^{-8}	0.06	
	10 <sup>-6</sup>	$8.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$	6.8 · 10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.08	
Allard*	10 <sup>-8</sup>	3.5.10-7	2.1.10-9	0.006	

Diffusion constants and porosities for I<sup>-</sup> in compacted bentonite ( $\rho_{dry} = 1.8 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ) equilibrated with NASK and Allard ground water solutions.

\* Eriksen and Jacobsson (1984)

The variations in diffusivity and diffusion porosity can be explained by the difference in ionic strength of the ground water solutions used (Allard 0.018 and NASK 0.218 mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) and anion exclusion from the small pores. Increasing the iodide concentration in Allard water to  $10^{-2}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup> may thus be expected to give a small increase in the apparent and effective diffusion constants as well as diffusion porosity given in Table 2 due to the increase in ionic strength on addition of iodide to the solution.

#### 4.2. Cesium and strontium

Typical experimental and simulated accumulated flux and concentration profile plots are shown in Figures 5 a, b and 6 a, b. Apparent diffusion constants and diffusion  $K_d$  values obtained by simulation calculations are given in Table 3.

#### Table 3

Solution	Ion	Conc. mol dm <sup>-3</sup>	$ \begin{array}{c} D_a \\ cm^2 s^{-1} \end{array} $	$K_d$ cm <sup>3</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>
NASK	$\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$	10-4	$1.05 \cdot 10^{-7}$	11
		10-6	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-7}$	8.5
	Cs <sup>+</sup>	10-2	5.0.10-8	40.5
		10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.6.10-8	46.6
		10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.2.10-8	46.8
		10-8	2.0.10-8	80
Allard	Cs <sup>+</sup>	10 <sup>-2</sup>	2.0.10-8	85
1 11100 0	00	10-4	$0.8 \cdot 10^{-8}$	270
		10 <sup>-6</sup>	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-8}$	270
		10 <sup>-8</sup>	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-8}$	450

Apparent diffusivities and distribution coefficients for Cs<sup>+</sup> and Sr<sup>2+</sup> in compacted bentonite  $(\rho_{dry} = 1.8 \text{ g cm}^{-3})$  equilibrated with Allard and NASK groundwater solutions.

Distribution coefficients for  $Cs^+$  and  $Sr^{2+}$  measured in batch experiments using bentonite suspended in NaCl solutions and ground waters with different ionic strength (I) are shown in Log K<sub>d</sub> versus Log I plots in Figures 7 and 8 respectively. In the strontium plot (Figure 8) we have included data from ionic-strength dependence studies by Muurinen (1994) and Oscarson (1984).

We have also plotted the  $K_d$ -values obtained by simulation of the diffusion break through curves and concentration profiles in the solid phase into Figures 7 and 8. Inspection of the plots in Figures 7 and 8 reveals that the diffusion  $K_d$ -values fall well within the range of distribution coefficients obtained in batch sorption experiments. The assumption of lower  $K_d$ values for diffusitive transport in compacted bentonite than for sorption in suspensions is therefore not borne out in this study.

The apparent diffusion constants for  $Cs^+$  and  $Sr^{2+}$  are, together with data from earlier studies by Muurinen (1994) and Eriksen and Jacobsson (1984), shown in Log  $D_a$  versus Log  $K_d$  plots in Figures 9 and 10, respectively. Inspection of these plots shows that in the  $K_d$  interval 10 to 1000 the apparent  $Cs^+$  diffusivity decreases by approximately one order of magnitude whereas the apparent  $Sr^{2+}$  diffusivity is virtually constant. This is clearly not in accordance with a diffusion model for porous media encompassing diffusion in the pore water and complete immobilization of sorbed species, yielding equation (7)

$$D_{a} = \varepsilon D_{p} / (\varepsilon + K_{d} \rho)$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

where  $D_p$  is the pore diffusion constant,  $D_a$  the apparent diffusion constant,  $K_d$  the distribution coefficient and  $\rho$  the dry bulk density of the porous medium. According to equation (7) the apparent diffusivity should decrease nearly linearly with increasing  $K_d$ . Instead assuming diffusion of sorbed cations to take place and to be Fickian, equation (7) can be modified to read

$$D_a = \varepsilon D_p / (\varepsilon + K_d \rho) + K_d \rho D_s / (\varepsilon + K_d \rho)$$
(8)

where D<sub>s</sub> is the surface diffusivity.

The effective diffusivity is given by equation (9)

$$D_e = D_a \left( \epsilon + K_d \rho \right) \tag{9}$$

Fitted  $D_a$  and  $D_e$  curves calculated using equations (8) and (9) are plotted into Figures 9 and 10. As can be seen, good fits to experimental data were obtained using  $D_p = 8^{\circ}10^{-6}$ ,  $D_s = 6^{\circ}10^{-9}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for Cs<sup>+</sup> and  $D_p = 3^{\circ}10^{-6}$  and  $D_s = 1^{\circ}10^{-7}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for Sr<sup>2+</sup>. These surface diffusivities are in fair agreement with results obtained by Muurinen (1994) and Choi et al (1992).

It should be pointed out that our calculations are based on the water porosity of the bentonite  $(\epsilon \pm 0.32)$ . It may be argued that the diffusion porosity is smaller than the water porosity, but we have presently not sufficient information on the ionic strength dependent diffusion porosity. The different diffusion constants for Cs<sup>+</sup> and Sr<sup>2+</sup> are summarized in Table 4.

Ion	$D_{w}$	$D_{p}$	$D_s$
	cm <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	cm <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	$cm^2 s^{-1}$
Cs <sup>+</sup>	$2.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$8.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$	6.0 • 10 <sup>-9</sup>
Sr <sup>2+</sup>	$0.78 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$	1.0 • 10 <sup>-7</sup>

**Table 4** Diffusion constants for  $Cs^+$  and  $Sr^{2+}$  in aqueous solution and compacted bentonite.

The ratio  $D_p / D_w$  is found to be the same for both ions indicating that the overall effect of tortuosity and constrictivity is the same.

The ionic strength dependence of the distribution coefficients clearly points at ion exchange as the main sorption mechanism. The  $Cs^+$  ion, having a larger radius than  $Sr^{2+}$ , is very weakly solvated and may be expected to be more specifically bound closer to the solid surface and thereby less mobile than the strongly solvated  $Sr^{2+}$  which is separated from the surface by at least one molecule of water.

#### **5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Dr Daichao Sheng, Luleå University of Technology is gratefully acknowledged for developing the computer code ANADIFF.



,



Schematic drawing of diffusion cell.





Arrangement of through diffusion experiments.





Cumulative flux plot from I through diffusion experiment. Dry density  $1.8 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , NASK-solution.





Experimental and calculated break through curves for  $10^{-6}$  M Sr<sup>2+</sup>. Dry density 1.8 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, NASK-solution.



Figure 5b.

Experimental and calculated activity profiles in bentonite plug.  $10^{-6}$  M Sr<sup>2+</sup>, dry density 1.8 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, NASK-solution.



Figure 6a.

Experimental and calculated break through curves for  $10^{-4}$  M Sr<sup>2+</sup>. Dry density 1.8 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, NASK-solution.





Experimental and calculated activity profiles in bentonite plug.  $10^{-4}$  M Sr<sup>2+</sup>, dry density 1.8 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, NASK-solution.





Logarithmic plot of distribution coefficients ( $K_d$ ) as function of ionic strength of solutions used in Cs<sup>+</sup> sorption and diffusion experiments. Cs<sup>+</sup> concentrations 10<sup>-2</sup> to 10<sup>-8</sup> mol dm<sup>-3</sup>.



Figure 8.

Logarithmic plot of distribution coefficients  $(K_d)$  as function of ionic strength of solutions used in  $Sr^{2+}$  sorption and diffusion experiments.





Logarithmic plot of apparent and effective Cs<sup>+</sup> diffusivity as function of distribution coefficient (K<sub>d</sub>). The lines are calculated using  $D_p = 8 \ 10^{-6}$  and  $D_s = 6 \ 10^{-9} \ cm^2 \ s^{-1}$ .



Logarithmic plot of apparent and effective  $Sr^{2+}$  diffusivity as function of distribution coefficient (K<sub>d</sub>). The lines are calculated using  $D_p = 3 \cdot 10^{-6}$  and  $D_s = 1 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . The data from Eriksen (1984) are from experiments with different techniques.

#### 6. REFERENCES

Berry, J. A. and Bond, K. A. 1992. Studies on the extent of surface diffusion in the migration of radionuclides through geological materials: Radiochim. Acta 58/59, 329-335.

Cheung, S. C. H. and Gray, M. N. 1989. Mechanisms of ionic diffusion in dense bentonite. In: Lutze, W. and Ewing, R. C. (eds) Scientific basis for nuclear waste management XII. MRS Symp. Proc., vol 127. Pittsburg: MRS. pp 677-681.

Choi, J. W., Jung, C. H., Chun, C. H., Park, H. S., Whang, J. H. and Lee, B. H. 1992. Diffusion of radionuclides in compacted bentonite. Proc. Conf. on high level radioactive waste management. (CONF-920430). La Grande Park, IL; Am. Nucl. Soc., pp 2278 2283.

Cook, A. J. 1989. A desk study of surface diffusion and mass transport in clay. Report EUR 12381.

Eriksen, T. E., Jacobsson, A. and Pusch, R. 1981. Ion diffusion through highly compacted bentonite. KBS TR 81-06, Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co., Stockholm, Sweden

Eriksen, T. E. and Jacobsson, A. 1981. Ion diffusion in compacted Na and Ca bentonites. KBS TR 91-12, Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co., Stockholm, Sweden.

Eriksen, T. E. and Jacobsson, A. 1984. Diffusion in clay. Experimental techniques and theoretical models. KBS TR 84-05, Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co., Stockholm, Sweden.

Jahnke, F. M. and Radke, C. J. . Electrolyte diffusion in compacted montmorillonite engineered barrier. In: Coupled Processes Associated with Nuclear Waste Repositories, San Diego. Academic Press, pp 287-297.

Kim, H. T, Suk, T.W. and Parks, S. H. 1993. Diffusivities for ions through compacted Nabentonite with varying dry bulk density. Waste Management, vol 13, pp 303-308.

Muurinen, A., Rantanen, J. and Penttilä-Hiltunen, P. 1985. Diffusion mechanisms of strontium, cesium and cobalt in compacted sodium bentonite. In. Werme, L O.(ed) Scientific basis for nuclear waste management IX, MRS Symp. Proc: vol 50, pp 617-624.

Muurinen, A., Penttilä-Hiltunen, P. and Rantanen, J. 1987. Diffusion mechanisms of strontium and cesium in compacted sodium bentonite. In Bates, J. K and Seefeldt, W. B. (eds) Scientific basis for nuclear waste management X, MRS Symp. Proc., vol 84, pp803-812.

Muurinen, A. 1994. Diffusion of anions and cations in compacted sodium bentonite. Espoo, Technical Centre of Finland, VTT Publication No 168.

Oscarson, D. W., Watson, R. L. and LeNeveu, D. M. 1984. Compilation of distribution coefficients for radioactive and other toxic contaminants with bentonite for use in SYVAC, AECL TR-288, Whiteshell Nucl. Res. Est., Pinawa, Manitoba, Canada.

Put, M. J. An improved mathematical model for the interpretation of the flow-through type diffusion tests with influence of filterplates. 1991. Rad. Waste. Management and the Nuclear Fuel Cycle, vol 16(1). pp 69-81.

Rasmuson, A. and Neretnieks, I.1983. Surface migration in sorption processes. SKB TR 83-37, Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co., Stockholm, Sweden.

Soudek, A., Jahnke, F. M. and Radke, C. J. 1984. Ion- exchange equilibria and diffusion in engineered backfill. Techn.Rep. NUREG/ CP-0052, pp 172-203.

Torstenfelt B. et al. 1983. Radionuclide diffusion and mobilities in compacted bentonite. KBS TR 83-34. Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co., Stockholm, Sweden.

van Schaik, J. C, Kemper, W. D. and Olsen, S. R. 1966: Contribution of adsorbed cations to diffusion in clay-water systems. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc., vol 30, pp17-22.

# List of SKB reports

#### **Annual Reports**

*1977-78* TR 121 **KBS Technical Reports 1 – 120** Summaries Stockholm, May 1979

### 1979

#### TR 79-28 The KBS Annual Report 1979

KBS Technical Reports 79-01 – 79-27 Summaries Stockholm, March 1980

#### 1980

#### TR 80-26 The KBS Annual Report 1980

KBS Technical Reports 80-01 – 80-25 Summaries Stockholm, March 1981

#### *1981* TR 81-17 **The KBS Appuel**

The KBS Annual Report 1981 KBS Technical Reports 81-01 – 81-16 Summaries Stockholm, April 1982

#### 1982

#### TR 82-28 The KBS Annual Report 1982

KBS Technical Reports 82-01 – 82-27 Summaries Stockholm, July 1983

#### 1983

#### TR 83-77 The KBS Annual Report 1983

KBS Technical Reports 83-01 – 83-76 Summaries Stockholm, June 1984

#### 1984

#### TR 85-01 Annual Research and Development Report 1984

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1984. (Technical Reports 84-01 – 84-19) Stockholm, June 1985

#### 1985

#### TR 85-20 Annual Research and Development Report 1985

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1985. (Technical Reports 85-01 – 85-19) Stockholm, May 1986 *1986* TR 86-31 **SKB Annual Report 1986** 

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1986 Stockholm, May 1987

# 1987

#### TR 87-33 SKB Annual Report 1987

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1987 Stockholm, May 1988

#### 1988

#### TR 88-32 SKB Annual Report 1988

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1988 Stockholm, May 1989

#### 1989

#### TR 89-40 SKB Annual Report 1989

Including Summaries of Technica! Reports Issued during 1989 Stockholm, May 1990

#### 1990

#### TR 90-46 SKB Annual Report 1990

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1990 Stockholm, May 1991

#### *1991* TR 91-64

#### SKB Annual Report 1991

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1991 Stockholm, April 1992

#### *1992* TR 92-46

#### SKB Annual Report 1992

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1992 Stockholm, May 1993

#### 1993 TR 93-34 SKB Annual Report 1993

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1993 Stockholm, May 1994

#### 1994

#### TR 94-33 SKB Annual Report 1994

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1994. Stockholm, May 1995

#### 1995

TR 95-37

#### **SKB Annual Report 1995**

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1995. Stockholm, May 1996

## List of SKB Technical Reports 1996

#### TR 96-01

# Bacteria, colloids and organic carbon in groundwater at the Bangombé site in the Oklo area

Karsten Pedersen (editor)

Department of General and Marine Microbiology, The Lundberg Institute, Göteborg University, Göteborg, Sweden February 1996

#### TR 96-02

#### Microbial analysis of the buffer/container experiment at AECL's Underground Research Laboratory

S Stroes-Gascoyne<sup>1</sup>, K Pedersen<sup>2</sup>, S Daumas<sup>3</sup>,

C J Hamon<sup>1</sup>, S A Haveman<sup>1</sup>, T L Delaney<sup>1</sup>,

S Ekendahl<sup>2</sup>, N Jahromi<sup>2</sup>, J Arlinger<sup>2</sup>, L Hallbeck<sup>2</sup>, K Dekeyser<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> AECL, Whiteshell Laboratories, Pinawa, Manitoba, Canada

<sup>2</sup> University of Göteborg, Department of General and Marine Microbiology, Göteborg, Sweden

<sup>3</sup> Guigues Recherche Appliquée en Microbiologie (GRAM), Aix-en-Provence, France

#### 1996

#### TR 96-03

#### Reduction of Tc (VII) and Np (V) in solution by ferrous iron. A laboratory study of homogeneous and heterogeneous redox processes

Daqing Cui, Trygve E Eriksen Department of Chemistry, Nuclear Chemistry, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden March 1996

#### TR 96-04 Revisiting Pocos de Caldas. Application of the co-precipitation approach to establish realistic solubility limits for performance assessment

Jordi Bruno, Lara Duro, Salvador Jordana, Esther Cera QuantiSci, Barcelona, Spain February 1996

#### TR 96-05

#### SR 95

Template for safety reports with descriptive example SKB December 1995

#### TR 96-06

#### Äspö Hard Rock Laboratory Annual Report 1995 SKB April 1996

#### TR 96-07

#### Criticality in a high level waste repository. A review of some important factors and an assessment of the lessons that can be learned from the Oklo reactors

Virginia M Oversby VMO Konsult June 1996

#### TR 96-08

# A reappraisal of some Cigar Lake issues of importance to performance assessment

John Smellie<sup>1</sup>, Fred Karlsson<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> Conterra AB <sup>2</sup> SKB July 1996

#### TR 96-09

#### The long-term stability of cement. Leaching tests

Ingemar Engkvist, Yngve Albinsson, Wanda Johansson Engkvist Chalmers University of Technology, Göteborg, Sweden June 1996

#### TR 96-10 Lake-tilting investigations in southern Sweden

Tore Påsse Sveriges geologiska undersökning, Göteborg, Sweden April 1996

#### TR 96-11

# Thermoelastic stress due to an instantaneous finite line heat source in an infinite medium

Johan Claesson, Göran Hellström Depts. of Building Physics and Mathematical Physics, Lund University, Lund, Sweden September 1995

#### TR 96-12

#### Temperature field due to timedependent heat sources in a large rectangular grid

#### - Derivation of analytical solution

Johan Claesson, Thomas Probert Depts. of Building Physics and Mathematical Physics, Lund University, Lund, Sweden January 1996

#### TR 96-13

# Thermoelastic stress due to a rectangular heat source in a semiinfinite medium

#### - Derivation of an analytical solution Johan Claesson, Thomas Probert

Depts. of Building Physics and Mathematical Physics, Lund University, Lund, Sweden May 1996

#### TR 96-14

Oklo: Des reacteurs nucleaires fossiles (Oklo: The fossil nuclear reactors). Physics study (R Naudet, CEA) – Translation of chapters 6, 13, and

#### Translation of chapters 6, 13, ai conclusions

V O Oversby VMO Konsult September 1996

#### TR 96-15

#### PLAN 96 Costs for management of the radioactive waste from nuclear power production

Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co June 1996